

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|--|
| 09/694,288 | 10/24/2000 | Timothy A. Lewis | 078091/0275 | 1663 | |
| 42645 | 7590 12/15/2004 | | EXAMINER | | |
| PHOENIX TECHNOLOGIES LTD. | | | MOISE, EMMANUEL LIONEL | | |
| 915 MURPHY MILPITAS, C | Y RANCH ROAD CA 95035 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| - , | | | 2136 | | |
| | | | D. TT. 14. 11 ED. 101151000 | DATE MAN ED 12/15/2004 | |

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| , | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|---|---|------------------|--------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 09/694,288 | LEWIS, TIMOTHY | ′ A. | | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | Emmanuel L. Moise | 2136 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply secified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 July 2004</u> . | | | | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> ☐ | This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | | | |
| 3) | , | | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)🖂 | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) | is)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8)⊠ | 8) Claim(s) 1-44 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | , | | | | | | |
| 9)□ | The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | | |
| ,- | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | | |
| | application from the International Burea | u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment | | | | | | | | |
| | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | (PTO-413) ate | | | | | |
| 3) 🔲 Inforr | nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date | | | D-152) | | | | |

Response to Amendment

- This Office action is responsive to Applicant's amendment received on July 30, 2004.
 Claims 1-44 are pending.
- 2. After further review, it is noted that claims 1-44 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Election/Restrictions

3. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, Applicant is required, in response to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- **Group 1.** Claims 1-24, drawn to a method or system for securely transferring control to a system management mode, are classified in Class 713, subclass 200.
- **GROUP II.** Claims 25-44, drawn to a method or system for developing a program system that validates system management interrupt (i.e., a software development and management tool), are classified in Class 717, subclass 100 or subclass 105.
- 4. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features.

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as securely transferring

Application/Control Number: 09/694,288

Art Unit: 2136

control to a system management mode. Invention II relates to a method or system for developing

Page 3

a program system that validates system management interrupt (i.e., a software development and

management tool), thereby having separate utility. See M.P.E.P. 806.05 (d).

Because inventions I and II are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and because the searches for

the individual inventions are not coextensive, restriction for examination purposes is proper.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Emmanuel L. Moise whose telephone number is (571)272-3865.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-W (9:30-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ayaz R. Sheikh can be reached on (571)272-3795. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2136